AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

		United Sta	TES DIST	TRICT COURT
			District of	Delaware
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		V.		DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	_	Richard Diaz-Garcia	Case	CR06-134-KAJ
		Defendant		
deter	in acc	recordance with the Ball Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. $\S$ 5142(1) of the defendant pending trial in this case.	), a detention hearif	g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
		Par	t I—Findings of	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in or local offense that would have been a federal offense a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3150	e if a circumstance $g(a)(4)$ .	tiving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life an offense for which a maximum term of imprison		
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprison	iment of ten years o	.*
				f two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	(2)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of the offense described in finding (1) was committed w		vas on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
				nviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pr safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I fu		ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the efendant has not rebutted this presumption.
			ternative Findings	• •
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ter under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	(2)			at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ity.
		Alt	ternative Findings	(B)
X		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe		to a second seco
	(2)	) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	r the safety of anoth	er person or the community.
		Part II—Written	Statement of Rea	sons for Detention
	I find	d that the credible testimony and information submitted		

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preport derance of the evidence: Defendant is charged with illegal reentry after deportation.

A detention hearing was scheduled in December 2006 and at that time defendant did not contest detention but reserved the right to have his detention reviewed at a later time which was granted by this court. In light of his waiver, and the strength of the evidence

propounded by the government against him, defendant was detained pending trial.

Further, defendant has numerous aliases and has used numerous dates of birth. His birth place and citizenship was confirmed as from the Dominican Republic. He has been deported from the US on three separate occasions. He was also convicted in 1999 of possession/use of a controlled substance, however, his substance abuse history is unknown. His ties to the US, specifically this community or family in the US are also unknown.



## Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

January 2, 2007	In Touthern
Date	Signature of Judicial Officer
	Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title of Indicial Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).